

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
PINE BLUFF DIVISION**

MARQUAEL RUSSELL

PLAINTIFF

V.

5:07CV00121 JLH/HDY

GERALD ROBINSON *et al.*

DEFENDANTS

**PROPOSED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**  
**INSTRUCTIONS**

The following recommended disposition has been sent to United States District Court Judge J. Leon Holmes. Any party may serve and file written objections to this recommendation. Objections should be specific and should include the factual or legal basis for the objection. If the objection is to a factual finding, specifically identify that finding and the evidence that supports your objection. An original and one copy of your objections must be received in the office of the United States District Court Clerk no later than eleven (11) days from the date of the findings and recommendations. The copy will be furnished to the opposing party. Failure to file timely objections may result in waiver of the right to appeal questions of fact.

If you are objecting to the recommendation and also desire to submit new, different, or additional evidence, and to have a hearing for this purpose before the District Judge, you must, at the same time that you file your written objections, include the following:

1. Why the record made before the Magistrate Judge is inadequate.
2. Why the evidence proffered at the hearing before the District Judge (if such a hearing is granted) was not offered at the hearing before the Magistrate Judge.
3. The detail of any testimony desired to be introduced at the hearing before the District Judge in the form of an offer of proof, and a copy, or the original, of any documentary or other non-testimonial evidence desired to be introduced at

the hearing before the District Judge.

From this submission, the District Judge will determine the necessity for an additional evidentiary hearing, either before the Magistrate Judge or before the District Judge.

Mail your objections and “Statement of Necessity” to:

Clerk, United States District Court  
Eastern District of Arkansas  
600 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 402  
Little Rock, AR 72201-3325

### **DISPOSITION**

Plaintiff filed this complaint (docket entry #2), on May 24, 2007, alleging constitutional violations relating to his food and drink, media and visitation privileges, and mail service. On May 31, 2007, this Court entered an order directing Plaintiff to file an amended complaint, which clarified his injuries, and the role each Defendant had in the alleged constitutional violations (docket entry #3). Plaintiff was warned that his failure to file an amended complaint within 30 days would result in the recommended dismissal of his complaint. More than 30 days have now passed, and Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the order. Under these circumstances, the Court concludes that Plaintiff’s complaint should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with Local Rule 5.5(c)(2). *See Miller v. Benson*, 51 F.3d 166, 168 (8th Cir. 1995) (District courts have inherent power to dismiss *sua sponte* a case for failure to prosecute, and exercise of that power is reviewed for abuse of discretion).

### **IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT:**

1. Plaintiff’s complaint (docket entry #2) be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to comply with Local Rule 5.5(c)(2).

2. The Court certify that an *in forma pauperis* appeal taken from the order and judgment dismissing this action be considered frivolous and not in good faith.

DATED this 10 day of July, 2007.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "H. Daniel Young". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

---

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE